

Ward 6 Staff



Steve Kozachik



Ann Charles



Diana Amado



Amy Stabler



Kate Kish



Caroline Lee



Ward 6 Newsletter

Tucson First

December 10, 2014

In this issue...

Transit System.....	1
TPD General Orders.....	4
CCRC Livable Community Forum	5
Core Campus Student Housing	6
Reid Park Zoo	7
Short-Term Rentals	7
OB Sports	8
Bark Beetles	9
Events and Entertainment	10

Transit System

There are lots of moving parts in the transit fare and route efficiency discussion. At the core for me is how the options we have in front of us fit into the overall budget priority challenge we face. Here are data that show how some of our priorities' budgets compare – strictly considering operations numbers and not factoring in capital expenses.

Adopted Operations Budget 2010

TPD - \$159,653,480

Parks & Rec - \$44,685,080

Sun Tran General Fund Operating Subsidy - \$31,234,600

Adopted Operations Budget 2011

TPD - \$162,144,770

Parks & Rec - \$43,088,950

Sun Tran General Fund Operating Subsidy - \$34,698,400

Adopted Operations Budget 2015

TPD - \$161,979,620

Parks & Rec - \$37,497,020

Sun Tran General Fund Operating Subsidy - \$42,064,270

While our police operations budget has stayed relatively flat in the last 5 years and parks has taken a \$7M haircut, our General Fund subsidy for transit has increased by \$11M. Those are simply the numbers.

On Tuesday, we were asked again to look at staff's proposed route efficiency



Important Phone Numbers

**Tucson Police
Department**
911 or nonemergency
791-4444

Water Issues
791-4133
Emergency: 791-4133

Street Maintenance
791-3154

Graffiti Removal
792-2489

**Abandoned
Shopping Carts**
791-3171

**Neighborhood
Resources**
837-5013

SunTran/SunLink
792-9222

**Environmental
Services**
791-3171

Park Wise
791-5071

**Planning and
Development
Services** 791-5550

**Pima County Animal
Control**
243-5900

**Pima County Vector
Control**
Cockroach: 443-6501
Mosquito: 740-2760

Continued: A Message From Steve

changes, alongside those brought forward by the Transit Task Force. Here are the raw data comparing the two sets of options:

<i>COA Efficiencies and Costs Compared with Current Service Costs</i>			
Option	FY2014-15 Projected Cost	FY2014-15 Savings from Current Service Cost	Annual Savings From Current Service Costs
Current Service	\$59,684,000	\$0	\$0
TTF Recommendation	\$59,574,000	\$110,000	\$264,000
Staff Recommendation	\$59,151,000	\$533,000	\$1,279,200

The recommendations are not reductions in service, but suggested ways to build efficiencies into high and low use routes. I supported the staff recommendation. The M&C chose the TTF recommendation.

We were also asked to again consider fare increases. Here's the comparison between the staff and Transit Task Force proposals:

<i>Fare Policy</i>		
Option	Additional Annual Revenue	Farebox Recovery (FY2015-16)*
TTF Recommendation	\$0	23%
Staff Recommendation	\$637,500	24.6%

*With the staff recommended cost reduction in FY2014-15

And, as I've done previously, here are the actual proposed staff numbers:

STAFF RECOMMENDED FARE STRUCTURE FY 2016 - FY 2018					
SUN TRAN FARES	CURRENT FY 2015	PROPOSED FARE		PROPOSED FARE	
		FY 2016	% Change	FY 2018	% Change
SINGLE TRIP FARES					
BASE FARE	1.50	1.50	0.00%	1.60	6.67%
ECONOMY FARE	0.50	0.50	0.00%	0.55	10.00%
EXPRESS FARE	2.00	2.00	0.00%	2.15	7.50%
UP-CHARGE-EXPRESS FARE	0.50	0.50	0.00%	0.55	10.00%
UP-CHARGE-ECONOMY TO EXPRESS FARE	1.50	1.50	0.00%	1.60	6.67%
PERIOD PASSES					
DAY PASS	3.50	4.20	20.00%	4.80	14.29%
DISCOUNTED DAY PASS	1.75	2.10	20.00%	2.40	14.29%



Important Phone Numbers

Senator John
McCain (R)
520-670-6334

Senator Jeff
Flake (R)
520-575-8633

Congressman
Ron Barber (D)
(2nd District)
520-881-3588

Congressman
Raul Grijalva (D)
(3rd District)
520-622-6788

Governor Janice
Brewer (R)
602-542-4331
Tucson office:
628-6580

Mayor Jonathan
Rothschild
791-4201

31 DAY PASS	42.00	49.00	16.67%	54.00	10.20%
ECONOMY 31 DAY PASS	15.00	17.00	13.33%	19.00	11.76%
EXPRESS 31 DAY PASS	56.00	65.00	16.07%	72.00	10.77%
UA/PCC BASE FARE SEMESTER PASS	173.00	192.00	10.98%	216.00	12.50%
UA/PCC SEMESTER EXPRESS PASS	230.00	255.00	10.87%	291.00	14.12%
UA ANNUAL BASE FARE PASS	413.00	422.00	2.18%	450.00	6.64%
UA ANNUAL EXPRESS PASS	550.00	562.00	2.18%	604.00	7.47%
SUN VAN FARES					
REGULAR FARES					
ONE WAY	3.00	3.00	0.00%	3.20	6.67%
ROUND TRIP	6.00	6.00	0.00%	6.40	6.67%
LOW INCOME FARES					
ONE WAY	1.00	1.00	0.00%	1.10	10.00%
ROUND TRIP	2.00	2.00	0.00%	2.20	10.00%

Note that the monthly period passes are programmed to increase this year in the staff proposal. In an effort to get some movement on this issue, I suggested we adopt the staff recommendation, but delay any of the economy-level monthly increases until FY'18, the same year in which the single trip fare increases would go up for economy users. M&C chose to do nothing on fares again.

We keep having this discussion, and the results continue to be pushing the decision down the road. For me, it's a budget issue, and I'll continue to try to look at it that way.

To that point – we do a lousy job of marketing the transit system. We make it hard to load money onto SunGo cards, don't give people incentives to load on large chunks of cash, don't allow people to load in multiple day passes from kiosks in the same transaction, don't let people buy annual passes unless they're affiliated with the UA or PCC, don't allow people to pay for more than one trip at a time off a SunGo card, don't allow single trip fares to be purchased from kiosks – in short, we make it tough for people to give us their money.

If we're going to succeed in making the transit system inviting to new users, we need to adopt the mindset that the product should fit the customer's needs, not the other way around. It's that marketing effort on which I spent most of my time commenting on Tuesday.

Staff needs to be more responsive to the data requests they get from the TTF, and they need to show more flexibility in how we get some of their suggestions implemented. But the bottom line is still the bottom line, and I believe considering fare increases and route efficiencies is not mutually exclusive of also considering improved marketing efforts and being more welcoming of input from our citizens' panel.

We'll have some of this to sort out during the upcoming budget talks. Every day I hear from constituents about the need to step up our game on code enforcement, social services, fire, police, and road repair. We can't hold one portion of the budget harmless to the exclusion of all the others.

TPD General Orders

On November 20th, the President issued an Executive Order related to immigration and the

ZoomTucson Map
<http://maps.tucsonaz.gov/zoomTucson/>

status of people who are already in the country. The media has focused on the impact it'll have in relation to protection from deportation and the right to find employment for nearly 4 million parents of U.S. Citizens, as well as legal permanent residents who have lived here for at least 5 years. There was a part of it that hasn't gotten much publicity, but is one I've asked our City Attorney to act on. It's called the Priority Enforcement Program. Here's the exact language that was included in an 11/20 Homeland Security memo which laid out the new rules. It's called the Secure Communities Program:

background checks. However, ICE should only seek the transfer of an alien in the custody of state or local law enforcement through the new program when the alien has been convicted of an offense listed in Priority 1 (a), (c), (d), and (e) and Priority 2 (a) and (b) of the November 20, 2014 [Policies for the Apprehension, Detention and Removal of Undocumented Immigrants Memorandum](#), or when, in the judgment of an ICE Field Office Director, the alien otherwise poses a danger to national security. In other words, unless the alien poses a demonstrable risk to national security, enforcement actions through the new program will only be taken against aliens who are convicted of specifically enumerated crimes.

Digging a little deeper, the specific offenses referenced include these (from another Homeland Security memo):

Priority 1 (threats to national security, border security, and public safety)

Aliens described in this priority represent the highest priority to which enforcement resources should be directed:

- (a) aliens engaged in or suspected of terrorism or espionage, or who otherwise pose a danger to national security;
- (b) aliens apprehended at the border or ports of entry while attempting to unlawfully enter the United States;
- (c) aliens convicted of an offense for which an element was active participation in a criminal street gang, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 521(a), or aliens not younger than 16 years of age who intentionally participated in an organized criminal gang to further the illegal activity of the gang;
- (d) aliens convicted of an offense classified as a felony in the convicting jurisdiction, other than a state or local offense for which an essential element was the alien's immigration status; and
- (e) aliens convicted of an "aggravated felony," as that term is defined in section 101(a)(43) of the *Immigration and Nationality Act* at the time of the conviction.

Priority 2 (misdemeanants and new immigration violators)

Aliens described in this priority, who are also not described in Priority 1, represent the second-highest priority for apprehension and removal. Resources should be dedicated accordingly to the removal of the following:

- (a) aliens convicted of three or more misdemeanor offenses, other than minor traffic offenses or state or local offenses for which an essential element

was the alien's immigration status, provided the offenses arise out of three separate incidents;

- (b) aliens convicted of a "significant misdemeanor," which for these purposes is an offense of domestic violence;¹ sexual abuse or exploitation; burglary; unlawful possession or use of a firearm; drug distribution or trafficking; or driving under the influence; or if not an offense listed above, one for which the individual was sentenced to time in custody of 90 days or more (the sentence must involve time to be served in custody, and does not include a suspended sentence);


The clear language says that ICE is only to seek transfer of people TPD pulls over if they've got convictions for the listed crimes on their record. I hope we'd all agree that those are serious. And, it shouldn't take an activist attorney to conclude that Homeland Security meant what they said in their own memo. Adopting their clear direction would ease much of the remaining SB1070 tension that exists in the community.

Since SB1070 was adopted, the question of when TPD calls in ICE has been a bone of contention. I've asked the City Attorney to address changing our General Orders, based on these new rules, to direct officers to only call in ICE if a background check reveals convictions for the above listed crimes. It's almost a rhetorical question. The language speaks for itself.

I'm hopeful we can get this change in place quickly. There's still the issue of School Resource Officers and the Grant money that TUSD is about to lose if we don't come to some agreement on when the police are supposed to call ICE. With these new guidelines, their Board should be easily ready to use them as an appropriate measuring stick. We're talking about middle school kids. It's highly unlikely that they'll fall into any of these categories.

I asked for this ruling the day after the Executive Order. It's time we move on it.

CCRC Livable Community Forum



12-6

© 2014 Bill Keane, Inc.
Dist. by King Features Synd.
www.familycircus.com

"How 'bout we pretend
we're people?"

On Monday, I was joined by representatives of the UA Dean of Students, Office of Community Relations, the Chief of Police, our City Attorney, and the Campus Community Relations Committee to talk about the broad issue of student behavior at off campus housing complexes. Too often the actions of the students lead many of us to wish they'd play the Family Circus game at left every so often. It'd make forums like the one we hosted unnecessary.

I mentioned in the Executive Order section that we shouldn't need an activist City Attorney in order to address those new rules. The same is true with drawing up some tighter rules as they relate to both resident behavior, and also to irresponsible owners. If anything good comes from

the recent incidents over at the towers at Park and Speedway, it will be getting some of those new regs into place. I already have a follow-up meeting scheduled with our Planning people and the City Attorney to advance that discussion.

The UA is also a player in this. They already have what's called a "Good Neighbors Initiative." Through it, the Community Relations folks go door-to-door in campus area neighborhoods and pass out educational pamphlets to both students and non-students. The material touches on our Red Tag Ordinance and gives contact information for both the 24-hour Neighborhood Hotline (282.3649) and the Dean of Students online contact option (link: <https://deanofstudents.arizona.edu/accountability/faculty/student-accountability>). Tools are in place for much of what's going on in the neighborhoods.

The Monday meeting was the culmination of several years' experience with rather unsuccessful efforts at tamping down inappropriate behavior that occurs in student housing. Most recently we saw the Islamic Center of Tucson (ICT) and businesses at the perimeter of the towers on Park and Speedway being pelted with bottles, pumpkins, and other debris. So far five eviction notices have been issued, video cameras are going up, the ownership has paid for cleaning up the parking lot and roof of the Mosque, and discussions continue about other strategies that will help stop what's occurring. That's a decent start, but many of us believe the problems will continue until the balconies from those towers are blocked off – new group of kids next semester, new learning curve, new spate of dangerous activity.

Did we solve all of this on Monday? No. Did these recent events bring this front and center? Yes. Both my office and that of Ward 3 are staying engaged in getting solutions before somebody is seriously injured or the quality of life continues to erode around campus.

Core Campus Student Housing

Last week I shared the interesting timing of a new student housing project and a liquor license application that are coming to us this month. Now comes one of the very same ownership groups from the towers we're experiencing trouble with announcing their plans to start construction of the 4th tower right in that same area at Park and Tyndall. This is the very same site on which a back-room deal was struck to allow up to 130' in height. Wards 1 and 3 joined me in supporting lesser heights at 90', 84' and 56'. We got rolled!

I haven't seen the design plans, but to be suggesting a new tower now, while we're in the midst of cleaning up their first behavioral mess, strikes me as showing quite the "tin ear" as it relates to community concerns. I won't be supporting anything that once again steps on the height agreements we had come to originally. And until we get our arms around the student behavior issue more generally, I think we can hit the pause button on those sorts of projects.



How about a break for some fun and good news...



The attendance record for Reid Park Zoo is just under 607,000. That was set back in 2012 right after they opened the new elephant exhibit. So far this year, 536,000 of you have visited the zoo. With the new baby elephant out and making public appearances, and the new addition of camel rides to what they're offering, a new record is certainly within reach.

Zoo Lights has begun. It runs from 6pm until 8pm every night from now until December 23rd. It'll resume again for the 26th, 27th and 28th after Christmas. It's family stuff and

admission is \$6 for adults and \$4 for kids who are under 14 years old. You can find more about this from their website at: <http://reidparkzoo.org/>

Short-Term Rentals

On Tuesday, I had hoped to give direction to staff to move ahead with adopting new rules related to short-term rentals. Those are where people rent a place for less than 30 days. It's the market segment that online operators have begun to use at what is in some locales an alarming rate. It's siphoning business from brick and mortar hotels and B&Bs and taking legitimate tax revenues away from both the City and from our tourism partner, Visit Tucson. The changes I had proposed won't cure all that we're losing, but it's a baby step towards achieving compliance with both what is already the law and a new change we were considering.

Instead, M&C elected to study this some more and simply direct staff to enforce what's already on the books.

First, it's already the law that anybody who rents rooms is supposed to get a business license from the City. Staff will be moving forward in contacting anybody they learn of who is not in compliance. The cost is \$70 annually. Making them place their permit number on any listings will also give potential renters the chance to check on them for both the good and the not so good as it relates to their hosting clients.

The main change I had wanted adopted was to eliminate the rather vague and arbitrary standard that said if you can't fit six people into your place as renters, you're exempt from the sales and bed taxes that other B&B and hotel clients pay on their bills. Trying to figure out whether somebody could or could not get six people into their place for an overnight stay is time consuming and results in inconsistent application of the former standard. M&C chose not to eliminate the threshold. My hope was to move on it so that if you rent out your place for 30 days or less, you're required to add the 6% Transient Occupancy Tax and \$2 per room/per night bed tax onto the bills. That's the part that will continue to be studied.

In advance of the Tuesday study session, staff did some legwork and found an estimated 750 available rentals in the City of Tucson. When I visited the Southern Arizona Lodging and Resort Association luncheon last week, they made it clear that number is very much an underestimation. They said the total number of off-the-radar-screen rentals is far higher than that. That means at least three things: we need to do a very good job of educating the industry of the changes we did adopt, we're leaving a lot of cash untapped that's now being paid

by the brick and mortar hoteliers, and the level of the problem is greater than what we can learn from simply going online and doing a quick check for rooms being advertised.

Other jurisdictions have put in place some very complex regulations. Some who have done so now report that because their rules are so tough on the industry that the level of noncompliance is significant. What we adopted is simple, fair and should not pose a burden on anybody to comply with. But it likely left a considerable amount of money on the table and continues to treat brick and mortar B&Bs unfairly. This is an area that's going to see more cities passing regulations. We see it happening with taxation of internet sales, too. It's an example of how the ways we interact and do business are out-pacing some of the ways traditional businesses are regulated. What we did would not have totally leveled that playing field, but it was a step in the right direction. The County is also looking into the revenue slippage they're experiencing related to the sharing economy.

I'll close with this. Earlier I wrote about student housing towers. In New York City they're experiencing entire housing complexes being abandoned and turned into short-term rental properties. It has changed the fabric of entire neighborhoods and is proving to be an extremely tough matter for them to regulate. Once the student towers around town get to the saturation point, we could face a similar situation. Getting these rules into place preemptively might help us to forestall that from happening here.



In February of this year we contracted the management of our golf courses to OB Sports. They've had the job for less than one full fiscal year. The product we gave to them to manage was in poor condition. So far, from every report I've heard, the courses are in much-improved condition, the amenities at the courses have been upgraded, and the whole golfing experience is better. Despite that, our revenues did not meet what was budgeted.

Just like Tucson Water and Environmental Services, Golf is an enterprise department. That means it's required to carry its own weight. The reason we contracted out the management was to see if somebody who does it for a living could change the trajectory of the financials. To expect them to have done that in such a short period of time is unrealistic. But to require that it be done in the near-term is our responsibility. I couldn't ask my colleagues who support no change to transit to make those changes if I weren't also willing to do that with Golf. We have one budget, and prioritizing how we manage it applies across the board.

Our deal with OB Sports is for 5 years. There are annual extensions so we're not locked in for that whole amount of time. But that's probably a fair time frame to see if our roll of the dice is paying off. I've got plenty of friends and coworkers who'd love to see us simply continue to subsidize the Golf enterprise, but when I ask them if they've ever complained about the condition of our roads or wondered why we weren't fully staffed at TPD, they start to understand the dilemma we're in as it relates to our budget. Everything's on the table.

To that point, I have asked staff to bring back some measurable and realistic metrics by which we can gauge OB's progress. Rounds played are down. That's the result of a combination of more courses being opened in the region, and probably a demographic shift that's not boding well for the long term health of the golfing industry. We'll have to watch that. And we're honor-bound to watch how the enterprise continues to affect our General Fund. Like I said, it's all on the table.

Our golf courses each have land use restrictions such that we can't just sell the land for another use like an industrial park. If we could, a course like Silverbell might be a good candidate. We've asked staff to start thinking about possible alternate uses that fit within our legal constraints. This'll be an evolving discussion, and one in which I suspect some changes in how our present stock is being used will have to be implemented over time. But in fairness, we need to work with OB Sports for a while and look at the trend lines. That's why we hired them.

Bark Beetles



These little guys are causing some real havoc around town. We've had a presentation at the Catalina Vista neighborhood meeting, and I've seen it at a couple of others, as well. These beetles bore into pine trees and, in very short order, the tree is dead.

According to some pretty well-informed arborists, one likely explanation for them arriving in Tucson this year is the climate change driven drought we've suffered. It has stressed the trees, and the dry conditions made the pines a welcome habitat for the beetles. They're not relegated to just one area of town. Trees have been lost out on the east side, around Randolph Park, and at the River/Dodge area.

Some of the telltale signs of an infestation are a browning crown on the pine tree, small entry/exit holes in the bark, and sawdust on the bark in the area of the entry sites. The crown changing color is really easy to spot. Please don't ignore that and assume the tree is going brown for winter. Pines don't do that.

Local arborists are concerned that the destruction is appearing all over town. If you see what you think might be a case of an infestation, please give us a call and we'll help get somebody out to take a look. If it's on City property, the City can send out one of our own arborists to look.



We really don't need to argue about whether or not climate change is occurring. We see the effects. These bark beetles can be treated effectively when found away from residential areas, but getting our arms around the problem when they're found in the City is tough. Help us preserve these important assets by getting us involved.

Sincerely,



Steve Kozachik
Council Member, Ward 6
Ward6@tucsonaz.gov

Events and Entertainment

What's happening this week in the Downtown, 4th Avenue, and Main Gate areas . . .

Christmas Day Dog Walk, Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), 4000 N. Silverbell Rd.
December 25th, 10:00AM – 12:00PM

Give our animals the gift of exercise and fun!

As our community's only open-admission shelter, PACC cares for about 24,000 pets each year and is the only shelter in the county that cares for every pet who is brought to its doors. PACC is also our community's largest adoption agency, and has the largest selection of dogs, cats, puppies and kittens.



For more information about PACC, please visit www.pima.gov/animalcare. Everyone is welcome, but only those 16 years or older will be able to personally walk the dogs. Please RSVP to Andrew.Stocker@pima.gov by Dec. 22nd.

Show & Tell, Playground Bar & Lounge, 278 E Congress St.

Wednesday, December 10th 6:00PM – 7:30PM

Joaquin Ruiz, Dean of the UA College of Science and Vice President for Innovation, presents a new vision of Southern Arizona as a destination for international tourism by preserving and celebrating our region's unique culture heritage, history, geology and biodiversity. Free. For more info, visit <http://confluentcenter.arizona.edu/upcoming-events>

Pacifica Quartet, with Anthony McGill, clarinet, Leo Rich Theatre, 260 S Church St.

Wednesday, December 10th and Thursday, December 11th

Appearing on our stage for the fifth time, the Pacifica Quartet is recognized for its virtuosity, exuberant performance style, and often-daring repertory choices. They are joined by Anthony McGill, Principal Clarinet of the Metropolitan Opera Orchestra since 2004, and recognized as one of the classical music world's finest solo, chamber, and orchestral musicians. For more information, visit <http://www.arizonachambermusic.org/eveningEvent3.html>



"Pequeños!" A Small Works Exhibition, Raices Taller 222 Art Gallery & Workshop
218 E. 6th Street

Friday, December 12, 2014, Opening Reception 6:00 - 9:00 pm

Opening Celebration includes potluck & refreshments, plus music by local musicians Jonathan W. Martinez and Edgar Ricaud, who will be playing music ranging from Christmas melodies, oldies and goodies, to music in honor of the Virgen and Mexican holiday songs! For more information, call 881-5335.

Luminaria Nights at Tucson Botanical Gardens, 2150 N Alvernon Way
December 12th, 13th, 14th, 5:30 to 8:30 p.m.



The Tucson Botanical Gardens festive holiday tradition, Luminaria Nights, is celebrating its 28th year this December. The event combines the beautiful garden grounds, thousands of luminarias and twinkling lights, live holiday music, delicious food, a visit from Santa, enchanting seasonal decor and holiday fun, making for a memorable winter event. For more information and for tickets, visit www.tucsonbotanical.org, stop by the gift shop, or call 326-9686 ext. 10.

Public Adult \$12, Children \$6 | Member Adult \$10, Children \$4

IMPORTANT PARKING INFORMATION: The Tucson Botanical Gardens parking lot will be available for handicapped guests only. General parking is located 5 blocks south, in the vacant lot on the SE corner of N. Alvernon and E. Lee St. A free shuttle will be running continuously, taking guests to and from the Gardens.

Arizona Bilingual Newspaper Presents: Christmas in the Park, Reid Park, Band-shell
December 13th, 10:00am to 1:00pm

2000 Toys & 500 Turkeys or Gift Certificates Giveaway! Games, live music and DJ's, food and fun! For more information, visit www.azbilingual.com.

Beat Back Buffelgrass Day

Saturday, January 24th

Buffelgrass is a wildfire waiting to happen. Buffelgrass invasion is threatening to transform the mostly fireproof and diverse Sonoran Desert into a flammable and impoverished savanna, affecting both natural and urban areas. On Saturday, Jan. 24, hundreds of volunteers will join the fight against this growing environmental problem for the Annual Beat Back Buffelgrass Day. The Southern Arizona Buffelgrass Coordination Center, Tucson Clean and Beautiful, and other groups are hosting buffelgrass removal events at sites all across the Tucson valley (there's even a site up in Phoenix). North, South, East or West we have a location near you! Free.

Individuals and groups can volunteer at more than two dozen locations in and around Tucson by registering at <http://www.buffelgrass.org/beat-back-buffelgrass-day-sites>



HOT SHOT HOOPLA BASKETBALL COMPETITION

All Participants
will receive a
ticket to the
January 3rd
U of A
Women's
Basketball
game vs
Washington
State



Three age groups:
7-8, 9-10, 11-12
(Must not exceed the age of
12 years old by 12/15/14, due
to NCAA Rules)

**Will be split into
Male/Female**

**1st and 2nd place winners
from each age group and
gender category
will receive a University
of Arizona
Women's Basketball
Gameday Experience**

SHOW OFF YOUR SKILLS!

HOT SHOT HOOPLA Competition

December 13 - Competition - 8 a.m.-noon
Randolph Recreation Center
200 S. Alvernon Way

Cost: \$1

Only accepting the first 100 kids to register
Registration will be from 8 a.m. - 9 a.m.
on a first-come, first-served basis

For more information, please call 791-4870

Ongoing

Tucson Symphony Orchestra 260 S. Church Ave

<http://www.tucsonsymphony.org/>

Arizona Friends of Chamber Music Leo Rick Theatre, 260 S Church St

<http://www.arizonachambermusic.org/>

Arizona Theater Company, 330 S Scott Ave

<http://www.arizonatheatre.org/>

Fox Theatre, 17 W Congress St

www.FoxTucsonTheatre.org

Rialto Theatre, 318 E Congress St

<http://www.rialtototheatre.com/>

The Rogue Theatre at The Historic Y, 300 E University Blvd

<http://www.theroguetheatre.org/main.htm>

Hotel Congress, 311 E Congress St

<http://hotelcongress.com>

Loft Cinema, 3233 E Speedway Blvd

www.loftcinema.com

Tucson Museum of Art, 140 N Main Ave

www.TucsonMusuemofArt.org

Jewish History Museum, 564 S Stone Ave

www.jewishhistorymuseum.org

Children's Museum Tucson, 200 S 6th Ave

Tuesday - Friday: 9:00am - 5:00pm; Saturdays & Sundays: 10:00am - 5:00pm

www.childrensmuseumtucson.org

Arizona State Museum, 1013 E University Blvd

www.statemuseum.arizona.edu

UA Mineral Museum, 1601 E University Blvd

<http://www.uamineralmuseum.org/>

Southern Arizona Transportation Museum, 414 N Toole Ave.

Tuesday – Thursday, Sunday: 1100am - 3:00pm; Friday & Saturdays: 10:00am - 4:00pm

<http://www.tucsonhistoricdepot.org>

Meet Me at Maynards, 311 E Congress St (north entrance on Toole)

A social walk/run through the Downtown area

Every Monday, rain or shine, holidays too!

Hotel Congress Check-in begins at 5:15pm.

www.MeetMeatMaynards.com

Tucson Botanical Gardens, 2150 N Alvernon Way

<http://www.tucsonbotanical.org>